

1 **Table S1.** Variation of survey dates along the elevation gradient.

2 Prescribed time windows for the first and second survey as a function of the length of the
 3 vegetation period (which is a function of elevation). For field work at elevation below 2200 m,
 4 two surveys were carried out. Note that the survey time window in different elevations was
 5 staggered to match the phenology

Length of vegetation period (day)	Dates for the first survey	Dates for the second survey
> 245	20 March – 1 May	20 July – 1 September
215 – 245	1 April – 10 May	20 July – 1 September
200 – 215	15 April – 25 May	20 July – 1 September
165 – 200	1 May – 10 June	1 August – 20 September
120 – 165	20 May – 1 July	1 August – 20 September
80 – 120	5 June – 15 July	1 August – 10 September
55 – 80	20 June – 1 August	1 August – 10 September

6

7

8 **Appendix S1.** Specification of multi-species site-occupancy model (Model B in Appendix S2)
 9 for the randomly sampled 100 plant species from among all the 1700 species that were
 10 detected.

11 We fitted the following model for occupancy with a quadratic effect for elevation (E):

$$12 \quad \text{logit}(\psi_{i,k}) = \alpha_{0,k} + \alpha_{1,k} \times E_i + \alpha_{2,k} \times E_i^2, \quad \text{eqn 1.}$$

13 Here, $\psi_{i,k}$ is the probability of occurrence of species k at quadrat i , $\alpha_{0,k}$ is the mean occurrence
 14 probability (on the logit scale) of species k , $\alpha_{1,k}$ and $\alpha_{2,k}$ are the effects for species k of
 15 elevation linear and elevation squared, and E_i is the mean of elevation of quadrat i ($i = 1, 2, \dots,$
 16 451).

17 We modelled the effects of species and survey date (D) on detection probability with
 18 logit-linear function:

$$19 \quad \text{logit}(p_{i,j,k}) = \beta_{1,k} + \beta_{2,k} \times D_{i,j} + \beta_{3,k} \times D_{i,j}^2, \quad \text{eqn 2.}$$

20 Here, $p_{i,j,k}$ is the detection probability for species k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, 100$) at quadrat i ($i = 1, 2, \dots,$
 21 451) during survey j ($j = 1, 2$), $\beta_{1,k}$ is the mean detection probability (on the logit scale) of
 22 species k , $\beta_{2,k}$ and $\beta_{3,k}$ are the effects of survey date and date squared respectively. $D_{i,j}$ is survey
 23 date at quadrat i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 451$) during survey j ($j = 1, 2$).

24 Consistent with the scope of our study and with the sampling scheme, which resulted in the
 25 100 randomly selected species, we treated all parameters indexed by k as random effects, i.e. as
 26 draws from a prior distribution whose parameters we estimated. Specifically, we made the
 27 assumption that all sets of species-specific random effects come from Normal distributions
 28 with mean μ and variance σ^2 that were both estimated.

29

30 **Appendix S2.** Description of hierarchical multi-species site-occupancy model in the BUGS

31 language.

32 Model *A* was for the random sample of 100 from among 886 vascular plant species that had at33 least 20 observed occurrences in the Swiss BDM. Model *B* was for the random sample of 100

34 from 1700 species that were detected at least once. The model was fit in WinBUGS 1.4.3

35 (Lunn *et al.* 2000; Spiegelhalter *et al.* 2003), which we called from R through package

36 R2WinBUGS (Sturtz, Ligges & Gelman 2005).

37 Model A

38 ## Start of Model A

39 model {

40 # Priors

41 for(mm in 1:4){

42 alpha0[mm] ~ dunif(-10, 10) # logit(psi) for life forms

43 beta0[mm] ~ dunif(-10, 10) # logit(p) for life forms

44 }

45 for(k in 1:nspecies){ # For each species

46 alpha0[k] ~ dnorm(0, tau.alpha0)

47 alpha1[k] ~ dnorm(mu.alpha1, tau.alpha1)

48 alpha2[k] ~ dnorm(mu.alpha2, tau.alpha2)

49

50 beta1[k] ~ dnorm(0, tau.beta1)

51 beta3[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta3, tau.beta3)

52 beta4[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta4, tau.beta4)

53 beta5[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta5, tau.beta5)

54 beta6[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta6, tau.beta6)

55 beta7[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta7, tau.beta7)

56 beta8[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta8, tau.beta8)

57 beta9[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta9, tau.beta9)

58 }

59

60 tau.alpha0 <- 1 / (sd.alpha0 * sd.alpha0)

61 sd.alpha0 ~ dunif(0, 10)

62 mu.alpha1 ~ dunif(-5, 5)

63 tau.alpha1 <- 1 / (sd.alpha1 * sd.alpha1)

64 sd.alpha1 ~ dunif(0, 5)

65 mu.alpha2 ~ dunif(-5, 5)

66 tau.alpha2 <- 1 / (sd.alpha2 * sd.alpha2)

```

67 sd.alpha2 ~ dunif(0, 5)
68
69 tau.beta1 <- 1 / (sd.beta1 * sd.beta1)
70 sd.beta1 ~ dunif(0, 5)
71 mu.beta3 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
72 tau.beta3 <- 1 / (sd.beta3 * sd.beta3)
73 sd.beta3 ~ dunif(0, 5)
74 mu.beta4 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
75 tau.beta4 <- 1 / (sd.beta4 * sd.beta4)
76 sd.beta4 ~ dunif(0, 5)
77 mu.beta5 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
78 tau.beta5 <- 1 / (sd.beta5 * sd.beta5)
79 sd.beta5 ~ dunif(0, 5)
80 mu.beta6 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
81 tau.beta6 <- 1 / (sd.beta6 * sd.beta6)
82 sd.beta6 ~ dunif(0, 5)
83 mu.beta7 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
84 tau.beta7 <- 1 / (sd.beta7 * sd.beta7)
85 sd.beta7 ~ dunif(0, 5)
86 mu.beta8 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
87 tau.beta8 <- 1 / (sd.beta8 * sd.beta8)
88 sd.beta8 ~ dunif(0, 5)
89 mu.beta9 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
90 tau.beta9 <- 1 / (sd.beta9 * sd.beta9)
91 sd.beta9 ~ dunif(0, 5)
92
93 # Likelihood
94 for (i in 1:nsites) { # Loop over sites
95   for(k in 1:nspecies){ # Loop over species
96     # True state model for the partially observed true state
97     # True occupancy z at site i for species k
98     z[i,k] ~ dbern(psi[i,k])
99     logit(psi[i,k]) <- lpsi.lim[i,k]
100    lpsi.lim[i,k] <- min(999, max(-999, lpsi[i,k]))
101    lpsi[i,k] <- alpha00[LF[k]] + alpha0[k] + alphas1[k] * elev[i]
102    + alpha2[k] * pow(elev[i], 2)
103
104    for (j in 1:nreps){ # Loop over surveys
105      # Observation model for the actual observations
106      # Detection-non-detection at i and j
107      y[i,k,j] ~ dbern(mu.p[i,k,j])
108      mu.p[i,k,j] <- z[i,k] * p[i,k,j]
109      logit(p[i,k,j]) <- beta0[LF[k]] + beta1[k] + beta3[k]
110      * elev[i] + beta4[k] * pow(elev[i], 2) + beta5[k] * DATES[i,j] +
111      beta6[k] * pow(DATES[i,j], 2) + beta7[k] * elev[i] * DATES[i,j] +

```

```

112 beta8[k] * elev[i] * pow(DATES[i,j], 2) + beta9[k] * pow(elev[i],
113 2) * DATES[i,j]
114     }
115   }
116 }
117
118 for(k in 1:nspecies){
119   # Derived quantities (within species loop)
120   occ.fs[k] <- sum(z[,k]) # Number of occupied sites
121 }
122 }
123 ## End of Model A
124
125
126
127
128 Model B
129 ## Start of Model B
130 model {
131   # Priors for occurrence
132   for(k in 1:nspecies){# For each species
133     alpha0[k] ~ dnorm(mu.alpha0, tau.alpha0)I(-12, 12)
134     alpha1[k] ~ dnorm(mu.alpha1, tau.alpha1)I(-12, 12)
135     alpha2[k] ~ dnorm(mu.alpha2, tau.alpha2)I(-12, 12)
136
137     beta1[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta1, tau.beta1)I(-12, 12)
138     beta2[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta2, tau.beta2)I(-12, 12)
139     beta3[k] ~ dnorm(mu.beta3, tau.beta3)I(-12, 12)
140   }
141
142   mu.alpha0 ~ dunif(-10, 10)
143   tau.alpha0 <- 1 / (sd.alpha0 * sd.alpha0)
144   sd.alpha0 ~ dunif(0, 10)
145   mu.alpha1 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
146   tau.alpha1 <- 1 / (sd.alpha1 * sd.alpha1)
147   sd.alpha1 ~ dunif(0, 5)
148   mu.alpha2 ~ dunif(-5, 5)
149   tau.alpha2 <- 1 / (sd.alpha2 * sd.alpha2)
150   sd.alpha2 ~ dunif(0, 5)
151
152   mu.beta1 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
153   tau.beta1 <- 1 / (sd.beta1 * sd.beta1)
154   sd.beta1 ~ dunif(0, 5)
155
156   mu.beta2 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)

```

```

157 tau.beta2 <- 1 / (sd.beta2 * sd.beta2)
158 sd.beta2 ~ dunif(0, 5)
159
160 mu.beta3 ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
161 tau.beta3 <- 1 / (sd.beta3 * sd.beta3)
162 sd.beta3 ~ dunif(0, 5)
163
164 # Likelihood
165 for (i in 1:nsites) {# Loop over sites
166   for(k in 1:nspecies){# Loop over species
167
168     # True state model for the partially observed true state
169     # True occupancy z at site i for species k
170     z[i,k] ~ dbern(psi[i,k])
171     logit(psi[i,k]) <- lpsi.lim[i,k]
172     lpsi.lim[i,k] <- min(999, max(-999, lpsi[i,k]))
173     lpsi[i,k] <- alpha0[k] + alpha1[k] * elev[i] + alpha2[k] *
174 elev2[i]
175
176     for (j in 1:nreps){# Loop over surveys
177       # Observation model for the actual observations
178       # Detection-nondetection at i and j
179       y[i,k,j] ~ dbern(mu.p[i,k,j])
180       mu.p[i,k,j] <- z[i,k] * p[i,k,j]
181       logit(p[i,k,j]) <- beta1[k] + beta2[k] * DATES[i,j] +
182 beta3[k] * pow(DATES[i,j], 2)
183     }
184   }
185 }
186
187 for(k in 1:nspecies){
188 # Derived quantities (within species loop)
189 occ.fs[k] <- sum(z[,k])# Number of occupied sites
190 mean.p[k] <- exp(beta1[k]) / (1 + exp(beta1[k]))
191 # Average detection
192 }
193 }
194 ## End of Model B

```

196 **References**

197 Lunn, D.J., Thomas, A., Best, N. & Spiegelhalter, D. (2000) WinBUGS - A Bayesian modelling framework:

- 198 Concepts, structure, and extensibility. *Statistics and Computing*, **10**, 325-337.
- 199 Spiegelhalter, D., Thomas, A., Best, N. & Lunn, D. (2003) WinBUGS version 1.4, User Manual. MRC
200 Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge, UK.
- 201 Sturtz, S., Ligges, U. & Gelman, A. (2005) R2WinBUGS: A package for running WinBUGS from R. *Journal of*
202 *Statistical Software*, **12**, 1-16.
- 203

204 **Table S2.** Results of multi-species site-occupancy model analysis of the stratified random sample of 100 plant species from among 886 vascular
 205 plant species that had at least 20 observed occurrences in the Swiss BDM.

206 Results from the analysis of our site-occupancy model applied to detection/non-detection data from 100 plant species at 451 1 km² quadrats in
 207 Switzerland. For each species, during both the first survey and the second survey, per-visit detection probability was estimated for the optimum
 208 elevation of each species, i.e. at the elevation where each species had the highest estimated occupancy probability. The combined detections for
 209 both surveys were the proportions of the quadrats observed among the quadrats estimated. E indicated the linear effect of elevation, and E^2
 210 indicated the quadratic effect of elevation. D indicated the linear effect of survey date, and D^2 indicated the quadratic effect of survey date. The
 211 sign '+' indicated significant, the sign '-' indicated not significant. Significant effect in our study was identified if the 95% credible interval (CRI)
 212 for that parameter did not cover zero, and no significant effect was identified as the 95% CRI for that parameter covered zero

Species	Life form	Detection probability (First survey)	Detection probability (Second survey)	Detection probability (Both surveys)	E	E^2	D	D^2	E \times D	$E \times$ D^2	E^2 \times D
<i>Achillea macrophylla</i>	forb	0.94	0.94	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Alchemilla alpina</i>	forb	0.95	0.95	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Allium ursinum</i>	forb	0.80	0.10	0.95	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Erigeron annuus</i>	forb	0.91	0.96	0.97	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Galeopsis tetrahit</i>	forb	0.89	0.99	0.99	+	+	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Galium anisophyllum</i>	forb	0.88	0.88	0.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Galium aparine</i>	forb	0.95	0.42	0.98	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Galium verum</i>	forb	0.77	0.81	0.94	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Helianthemum alpestre</i>	forb	0.93	0.93	0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hieracium intybaceum</i>	forb	0.86	0.86	0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hippocrepis emerus</i>	forb	0.97	0.97	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i>	forb	0.96	0.95	0.99	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Myosotis decumbens</i>	forb	0.92	0.55	0.91	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Oxalis acetosella</i>	forb	0.97	0.97	1	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pedicularis kernerii</i>	forb	0.29	0.29	0.86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Phyteuma spicatum</i>	forb	0.95	0.95	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ranunculus ficaria</i>	forb	0.52	0.01	0.93	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Rumex sanguineus</i>	forb	0.77	0.99	0.98	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Sedum dasyphyllum</i>	forb	0.84	0.84	0.87	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sherardia arvensis</i>	forb	0.55	0.55	0.82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	forb	0.67	0.88	0.91	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Thesium alpinum</i>	forb	0.87	0.87	0.93	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Verbascum lychnitis</i>	forb	0.87	0.87	0.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Veronica urticifolia</i>	forb	0.96	0.96	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Viola calcarata</i>	forb	0.86	0.36	0.67	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Achnatherum calamagrostis</i>	grass	0.61	0.94	0.95	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Agrostis rupestris</i>	grass	0.09	0.73	0.9	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>	grass	0.78	0.21	0.9	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	grass	0.99	1.00	0.99	+	-	+	-	-	-	-

<i>Brachypodium sylvaticum</i>	grass	0.94	0.99	0.98	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Calamagrostis villosa</i>	grass	0.70	0.95	0.98	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Carex caryophylllea</i>	grass	0.89	0.29	0.9	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Carex muricata</i>	grass	0.88	0.74	0.9	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Carex parviflora</i>	grass	0.68	0.68	0.85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Carex pilulifera</i>	grass	0.88	0.48	0.87	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Carex sylvatica</i>	grass	0.96	0.96	0.99	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Digitaria ischaemum</i>	grass	0.07	0.63	0.56	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Festuca altissima</i>	grass	0.81	0.81	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Festuca halleri</i>	grass	0.82	0.82	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Festuca ovina</i>	grass	0.79	0.86	0.93	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Koeleria pyramidata</i>	grass	0.70	0.70	0.87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lolium perenne</i>	grass	0.98	1.00	0.97	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Luzula campestris</i>	grass	0.96	0.56	0.93	+	+	+	-	+	-	-

<i>Panicum dichotomiflorum</i>	grass	0.08	0.76	0.6	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Phleum hirsutum</i>	grass	0.26	0.82	0.85	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Phleum pratense</i>	grass	0.59	0.97	0.96	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Poa annua</i>	grass	0.99	0.99	1	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Poa nemoralis</i>	grass	0.82	0.91	0.97	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Poa supina</i>	grass	0.95	0.82	0.96	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Trisetum spicatum</i>	grass	0.17	0.17	0.74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Amelanchier ovalis</i>	shrub	0.82	0.82	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Buddleja davidii</i>	shrub	0.72	0.94	0.98	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Crataegus laevigata</i>	shrub	0.86	0.86	0.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dryas octopetala</i>	shrub	0.93	0.93	0.98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	shrub	0.86	0.86	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Juniperus communis</i>	shrub	0.88	0.96	0.99	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Lonicera caerulea</i>	shrub	0.66	0.94	0.97	-	-	+	-	-	-	-

<i>Lonicera nigra</i>	shrub	0.89	0.89	0.98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lonicera xylosteum</i>	shrub	0.97	0.93	1	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Potentilla grandiflora</i>	shrub	0.92	0.92	0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	shrub	0.71	0.71	0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Prunus spinosa</i>	shrub	0.97	0.97	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rhododendron ferrugineum</i>	shrub	0.84	0.84	0.78	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ribes uva-crispa</i>	shrub	0.69	0.69	0.88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rosa arvensis</i>	shrub	0.79	0.96	0.98	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Rosa pendulina</i>	shrub	0.87	0.95	0.98	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Rubus fruticosus</i>	shrub	0.98	1.00	1	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Salix cinerea</i>	shrub	0.77	0.90	0.96	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Salix hastata</i>	shrub	0.40	0.40	0.59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salix retusa</i>	shrub	0.93	0.93	0.98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salix serpyllifolia</i>	shrub	0.88	0.88	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	shrub	0.99	0.99	0.99	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	shrub	0.89	0.86	0.97	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i>	shrub	0.96	0.96	0.97	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Viburnum opulus</i>	shrub	0.95	0.95	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Abies alba</i>	tree	0.96	0.96	1	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Acer campestre</i>	tree	0.97	0.97	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	tree	0.96	0.96	0.99	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Alnus incana</i>	tree	0.94	0.94	0.98	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Betula pendula</i>	tree	0.88	0.96	0.98	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	tree	0.96	0.96	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Frangula alnus</i>	tree	0.73	0.73	0.94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Larix decidua</i>	tree	0.95	0.95	0.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pinus cembra</i>	tree	0.82	0.82	0.86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pinus mugo</i>	tree	0.90	0.90	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Populus alba</i>	tree	0.89	0.89	0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Populus nigra ssp. nigra</i>	tree	0.81	0.81	0.95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Populus tremula</i>	tree	0.87	0.94	0.98	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Prunus avium</i>	tree	0.95	0.95	0.99	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Prunus domestica</i>	tree	0.51	0.79	0.93	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Prunus padus</i>	tree	0.84	0.84	0.92	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pyrus pyraeaster</i>	tree	0.57	0.93	0.94	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Quercus petraea</i>	tree	0.79	0.79	0.94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Quercus robur</i>	tree	0.96	0.99	0.99	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	tree	0.83	0.97	0.98	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Salix appendiculata</i>	tree	0.76	0.94	0.97	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	tree	0.97	0.97	0.99	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sorbus mougeotii</i>	tree	0.52	0.78	0.8	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Taxus baccata</i>	tree	0.72	0.72	0.94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i>	tree	0.87	0.87	0.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
---------------------------	------	------	------	------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

213